

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,835	07/01/2003	Jung-Tsung Wei	PUSA030638	6736
7590 12/02/2003		EXAMINER		
Jung-Tsung Wei 58, MA YUAN WEST ST. TAICHUNG, TAIWAN			FRIEDHOFER, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annlicent/s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/613,835	WEI, JUNG-TSUNG				
Omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU ING DATE of this communication and	Michael A. Friedhofer	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> '					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,835 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2 and 4-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greedy in view of Saidian '557.

Greedy discloses in figures 1-4 a flexible, pull cord having a handle for driving the mechanical switch o the overhead appliance when pulled by a user.

Greedy does not disclose the pull cord also have a photoelectric sensor connected to the pull line for controlling the functioning of the appliance when the user touches the pull line or moves the hand toward the sensor.

Saidian teaches in figures 8-11 a cord having a capacitve sensor or device 111 at the end of a cord 106 for the purpose of touch or non-touch control of the lamp without requiring the user to grasp the cord. Further, the touch response could be provided by a variety of other sensors including a daylight sensor (or photoelectric sensor), an infrared sensor, noise detector, or various other well known control devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Saidian '557 to provide a touch control on the pull cord for operation

by the user because this is for the purpose of reducing the physical wear on the switch as well as for making the device more easily operated by those having various disabilities or are incapable of physically pulling on the cord.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greedy in view of Saidian '557 as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Moore et al.

Greedy as modified by Saidian '557 teaches all of the claimed inventions with the exception of the pull cord being a rod.

Moore et al teaches the use of a rod as the extension for operating a switch.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a rod rather than a pull cord because the purpose of the switches and the pull member would not be altered by whether the pull member is a cord or a rod nor would the operation of the sensors and the lamp be altered by whether a cord or a rod is utilized.

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,835

Art Unit: 2832

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of copending Application No. 10/015743. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because while the wording in the application 10/015743 is different from the present application, there is no substantive difference. The only differences have to do, for example, with the parallel connection in which for both the mechanical and sensor to provide separate switching operations as claimed in the parent application, the sensor and mechanical switch would have to be in parallel.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brothers, Saidian '516, Li, and Messick teach various methods of remotely operating a light socket.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Friedhofer whose telephone number is 703-308-3304. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:00 - 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3432.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,835

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1782.

Michael A. Friedhofer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832 Page 5

maf

.. .